The Last Survivor: a Spin Glass Phase in an External Magnetic Field.

J. J. Ruiz-Lorenzo

Dep. Física, Universidad de Extremadura

Instituto de Biocomputación y Física de los Sistemas Complejos (UZ)

http://www.eweb.unex.es/eweb/fisteor/juan

Ourense, April 4th 2014

With Janus Collaboration (Rome-Ferrara-Zaragoza-Madrid-Extremadura) Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109, 6452 (2012), PRE (2014) and JSTAT (2014)

Plan of the Talk

- What are spin glasses?
- Different Theories: Droplet/Scaling and RSB.
- Results for the four dimensional Edwards-Anderson in a field.
- Results for the three dimensional Edwards-Anderson in a field.
- Conclusions.

- Materials with disorder and frustration.
- Quenched disorder (similar to the Born-Oppenheimer in Molecular Physics).
- Canonical Spin Glass: Metallic host (Cu) with magnetic impurities (Mn).
- RKKY interaction between magnetic moments: $J(r) \sim \frac{\cos(2k_F r)}{r^3}$.
- Role of anisotropy: Ag:Mn at 2.5% (Heisenberg like), $CdCr_{1.7}IN_{0.3}S_4$ (also Heisenberg like) and $Fe_{0.5}Mn_{0.5}TiO_3$ (Ising like).

• Edwards-Anderson Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij}\sigma_i\sigma_j + \sum h_i\sigma_i$$

 J_{ij} are random quenched variables with zero mean and unit variance, $\sigma = \pm 1$ are Ising spins.

• The order parameter is:

$$q_{\rm EA} = \overline{\langle \sigma_i \rangle^2}$$

Using two real replicas:

$$\mathcal{H} = -\sum_{\langle ij \rangle} J_{ij} \left(\sigma_i \sigma_j + \tau_i \tau_j \right) + \sum_i h_i (\sigma_i + \tau_i)$$

Let $q_i = \sigma_i \tau_i$ be the normal overlap, then: $q_{\text{EA}} = \overline{\langle \sigma_i \tau_i \rangle}$. Effective Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H}_n = \int d^D x \left[(\partial_\mu Q_{ab})^2 + \tau \operatorname{Tr} Q^2 + g_3 \operatorname{Tr} (Q^3) + \lambda \sum_{ab} Q_{ab}^4 + h^2 \sum_{ab} Q_{ab} \right]$$

a, b = 1, ..., n. At the end, $n \to 0!$: $\log Z = \lim_{n \to 0} \frac{Z^n - 1}{n}$

The Droplet/Scaling Theory.

- Based on the Migdal-Kadanoff implementation (approximate) of the Renormalization Group (exact in D = 1).
- Disguised Ferromagnet: Only two pure states with order parameter $\pm q_{\rm EA}$ (related by spin flip).
- Any amount of magnetic field destroys the spin glass phase (even for Heisenberg spin glasses).

Replica Symmetry Breaking (RSB) Theory.

- Exact in $D = \infty$.
- Infinite number of phases (pure states) not related by any kind of symmetry.
- These (pure) states are organized in a ultrametric fashion.
- The spin glass phase is stable under (small) magnetic field. Phase transition in field: the de Almeida-Thouless line.

RG from the paramagnetic phase:

- The upper critical dimension in a field is still six (Bray and Moore).
- Due to a dangerous irrelevant variable, some observables change behavior at eight dimensions (Fisher and Sompolinsky).
- Projecting the theory (replicon mode) no fixed points were found (Bray and Roberts).
- However, starting with the most general Hamiltonian of the RS phase and relaxing the n = 0 condition a stable fixed point below six dimensions was found (Dominicis, Temesvári, Kondor and Pimentel)
- Temesvári is able to build the dAT slightly below D = 6 (but Bray and Moore, Temesvári and Parisi, Moore,...)

Different Theories: External Magnetic Field

Renormalization group predictions (from Temesvári and Parisi):



- We have simulated using the JANUS computer.
- L = 5, 6, 8, 10, 12 and 16.
- Three (uniform) magnetic Fields: h = 0.075, 0.150 and 0.3.
- Parallel Tempering in Temperature (e.g. 32 temperatures in L = 16)
- Single sample thermalization protocol.

Dedicated Computers: Janus.





• Correlation Functions

$$G_{1}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{1}{L^{4}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}} \overline{\left(\langle S_{\boldsymbol{x}} S_{\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{r}} \rangle - \langle S_{\boldsymbol{x}} \rangle \langle S_{\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{r}} \rangle\right)^{2}},$$

$$G_{2}(\boldsymbol{r}) = \frac{1}{L^{4}} \sum_{\boldsymbol{x}} \overline{\left(\langle S_{\boldsymbol{x}} S_{\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{r}} \rangle^{2} - \langle S_{\boldsymbol{x}} \rangle^{2} \langle S_{\boldsymbol{x}+\boldsymbol{r}} \rangle^{2}\right)}.$$

• Correlation Length:

$$\xi_2 = rac{1}{2\sin(\pi/L)} \left(rac{\hat{G}(0)}{\hat{G}(m{k}_1)} - 1
ight)^{1/2},$$

where $\mathbf{k}_1 = (2\pi/L, 0, 0, 0)$ (and two perm.)

• P(q) in a magnetic field: SK results and numerical ones.



• The negative overlap region induces large corrections in $\tilde{G}(0)!!$

• We should avoid the mode $\mathbf{k} = 0$ in the analysis.

• R_{12} :

$$R_{12} = \frac{\hat{G}(\boldsymbol{k}_1)}{\hat{G}(\boldsymbol{k}_2)},$$

where $\mathbf{k}_1 = (2\pi/L, 0, 0, 0), \ \mathbf{k}_2 = (2\pi/L, 2\pi/L, 0, 0)$ (and permutations)

- We have checked the behavior of this observable in the EA model in 4d (h = 0).
- And in the two dimensional (ordered) Ising model. We have been able to compute its value at criticality using Conformal Field Theory:

$$R_{12} = 1.694 \ 024...$$



$ \begin{array}{c cccc} \hline T_{\rm c}(h) & 0.906(40)[3] & 1.229(30)[2] & 1.50(7) \\ \nu & 1.46(7)[6] & \\ \eta & -0.30(4)[1] & \\ \omega & 1.43(37) & \\ \end{array} $	Parameter	h = 0.3	h = 0.15	h = 0.075
$egin{array}{cccc} u & 1.46(7)[6] & \ \eta & -0.30(4)[1] & \ \omega & 1.43(37) & \end{array}$	$T_{\rm c}(h)$	0.906(40)[3]	1.229(30)[2]	1.50(7)
$\eta = -0.30(4)[1] = -0.30(4)[1$	u	1.46((7)[6]	
ω 1.43(37) —	η	-0.30	0(4)[1]	
	ω	1.43	(37)	

For reference (h = 0): $T_c^{(0)} = 2.03(3), \nu^{(0)} = 1.025(15), \eta^{(0)} = -0.275(25)$

- L = 80.
- Gaussian magnetic Fields (using Gauss-Hermite quadrature).
- Dynamical Studies (Fast and Slow annealing procedures):
 - Equilibrium dynamical studies in the high temperature region.
 - Out-of-equilibrium studies for the lower temperatures.

Observables:

•
$$q_{\boldsymbol{x}}(t) = \sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(1)}(t)\sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(2)}(t)$$

• $q(t) = \frac{1}{V}\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}}q_{\boldsymbol{x}(t)}$
• $E_{\text{mag}}(t) = \frac{1}{V}\sum_{\boldsymbol{x}}h_{\boldsymbol{x}}\sigma_{\boldsymbol{x}}(t)$
• $W(t) = 1 - TE_{\text{mag}}(t)/H^{2}$
• $W = \overline{\langle q \rangle}$

(4)

 $\langle \alpha \rangle$

• Droplet prediction: $W = q_{\text{EA}}$ and $q(t) \rightarrow q_{\text{EA}}$, so

$$W - q \rightarrow 0$$

• RSB prediction: $W = \overline{\langle q \rangle}$ and $q(t) \to q_{\min}$, so

$$W - q \rightarrow \overline{\langle q \rangle} - q_{\min} > 0$$

Equilibrium and out-of-equilibrium regimes:



The equilibrium data (obtained at high T) follow a stretched exponential behavior:

$$W - q = \frac{b}{t^x} \exp\left[-\left(t/\tau'\right)^{\beta}\right]$$

Another computation:



On a Second Order Phase Transition:

$$\tau = \tau_0 (T - T_c(H))^{-\nu z}$$



In a spin glass phase:

$$W - q = a + \frac{b}{t^x}$$



Scenarios:

- RSB with a non zero magnetic field fixed point: critical dynamics for τ' .
- RSB with a zero magnetic field fixed point: activated dynamics for τ' .
- A dynamical transition at which "apparently" diverges τ' and then a thermodynamical phase transition (RSB?) (Mode Coupling Theory, supercooled liquids).
- A T = 0 phase transition.
- Our data do not follow the droplet predictions.

$D = 3 \ (h \neq 0)$ Equilibrium



- We have shown strong numerical evidences which support a dAT line below the upper critical dimension (D = 4).
- Results in three dimensions start to show signatures of the phase transiton using both non-equilibrium and equilibrium approaches.
- Lower critical dimensions for the Ising spin glass in a field $D_l \ge 3$ or $D_l < 3$?